1892-93
(L.R.)

File No 186-92
~~L.R.~~ Serial 1-17

P-70

Sub:— Appeal of Chowdri Nanj
and others of Bgamanda
and villages in Tipta
Taluk to resale of Ca
Kaval lands in the Villa
of Kadehalli.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1892-93

192

(L, R)

Later references.

File No. 186-92

Serial Nos. 1-17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-17

In ~~Part~~ B for December 1893.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Chowdri Nanja and others of Biganen-halli and other villages in Tiptur Taluk-for resale of certain Kaval lands in the Village of Kodehalli

No. 11. The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur dtd 27/4/1893
17641.
No. 9981 D. 19/12/1893
26.
P. F. 276-92

Government decline to interfere & reconsider
Reject the appeal of Chowdri Nanja & others, as the
Govt. Consider it inexpedient to order the resale
of the land which was delivered to the possession of the
more than 2 years ago

WD 2213—GPB—20,000—11-6-20

Memo.

Letter No. 505 dated 8th December 192 from the Deputy Commr., Tumkur District, requesting Sanction to the cancellation of the Sale of certain lands in the Kodihalli village, Tiptur Taluk.

From the Vernacular papers received with ~~with~~ the above, the following facts are gathered:—

1 On the 2nd November 1890 one Dasegowda, Patel of the Guttakere village, applied for certain Survey Nos in the Kodihalli Amritmahal Kavab which had been dafed and assessed after its —

transfer to the Rev. Depr.

On the 22d December 1890, the Amildar directed the Shekdar to Sell the lands by public auction, after due proclamation of the Sale notice. On the 25th January 1891, the marginally noted lands were Sold to the Durkhastdar Dasegowda for Rs. 2-9-"; he being the highest bidder; but the Amildar finding that the value of a large no. of trees Standing in the lands had not been taken into account ordered the resale of the lands. The Sale notice at the 2d instance was proclaimed on the 7th Feb 1891 and the lands resold on the 15th. At the resale also, the Durkhastdar purchased the lands for Rs. 2-9-" &

* Survey No.	Extent. A. G.	Assessment Rs. A.
4 —	2-7 —	2 — " — "
5 —	2-3 —	2 — " — "
6 —	2-29 —	2 — 8 — "
7 —	2-21 —	2 — 4 — "
8 —	2-37 —	2 — 12 — "
9 —	2-16 —	2 — 8 — "
10 —	1-38 —	2 — " — "
11 —	2-1 —	2 — 4 — "
12 —	2-2 —	2 — 4 — "
13 —	2-29 —	3 — " — "
Total	23-23 —	23 — 8 — "

the Amildar. On the 31st March 1891, the purchaser was put in possession of the lands, on condition of his paying the boundary mark charges and also the Kanda-yem for the year Vikruti (1890-91).

2. Subsequently, i.e, on the 24th September 1891, Pula-serigowda and 7 other villagers of Biginahalli complained to the Amildar that as the Sale was conducted without their knowledge, it should be cancelled. On the 16th September 1891, the villagers of Biginahalli, Halladahosahalli, and Lakshmidevarahalli (20 in number) submitted a petition to the Dy. Commr.

Stating that the Sale notice was not duly given to them, ~~xxxxx~~ that consequently they were prevented from being present at the Sale and offering a higher price for the lands, although they were anxious to purchase them, that Patel Dasegowda easily obtained the lands with the aid of the Shanbogue & the Shékdar for Rs. 2-9-"; that they caused great loss to Govt by leaving out of consideration the value of the trees standing in the lands, which would amount to Rs. 200 nearly; and that therefore the Sale should be cancelled and an opportunity given them to bid for the lands.

ESCAMBO LIBERANCE

the Dy: Comm: called upon
the Amildar to Submit a
full report in the matter.
The Amildar reported that
after inspection of the Spot,
it was found out that the
then Shékdar Anantar-
ramaiya was answerable
for the alleged irregularities
in the conduct of the Sale,
and also Submitted a list
prepared by Shékdar
Subrammanyaiya Showing
the no. of trees that then
Stood in the lands; according
to which the no. of trees was
580 valued at Rs. 129; but
in the list prepared before
the Sale by Shanbogue
Danappa and the Said
Shékdar Anantaramaiya,
the no. of trees had been Shown

as 160. When Shekdar Anantaramaiya, who had been transferred to the Tiruvellore Sub Taluk, was called upon thro' the Deputy Amildar to explain the irregularities, he deposed before the Dy: Amildar that only big trees which could fetch some price were taken into account & included in the list, the others which were ~~being~~ young plants and omitted by him ~~that they~~ might have ~~live~~ become big trees and ~~were~~ included in the list prepared by Shekdar Subrammangaiya, and that although the place of Sale was not inserted in the Sale notice, still he conducted the Sale near the lands. On the same queries

Danappa above referred to, he deposed before the Tipturs Amildar that as directed by Shékdar Ananta-ramaiya, he included in the list only those which were fit to be called trees, that in his presence the Sale notice[†] was duly proclaimed in the villages of Gottigere, Halladahosakalli, & Lakshmidevarahalli, and that he did not know where the Sale took place. Thereupon the Dy: Commr: directed the Amildar to get the value of the trees estimated by a Punchayet (2 on behalf of Govt & 2 on behalf of the purchaser Dasegowda) and to report why the lands should

† This is the first Sale notice, which is signed by Danappa for the marks of 10 persons of whom belong to the villages of Hosahalli and Lakshmidevarahalli; but the Second Sale notice bears the Signature of one Ramaiya for the marks of 4 persons, which are uniform. From the 2d Sale notice it is not clear in what places it was notified —

not be confirmed in the name of the purchaser, if he paid the estimated value of the trees.

4. The Amildar reported that the value of the trees had been estimated by the Panchayet at Rs. 50-8- and recommended that instead of confirming the lands in the name of Dasé-gowda on payment of Rs. 50-8-, the lands might be resold, as the Sale was not conducted according to Rules and as many others were ready to offer a higher price for the lands.

		Rs.	As.	P
1	Aralimara	1	—	—
1	Aladamara	—	4	—
7	Bevina Sosi.	—	12	—
3	Japasi trees	—	8	—
200	Muttagada trees (1st class)	25	—	—
200	do (2d class)	12	8	—
200	do (3d class)	6	4	—
200	Some other trees	3	2	—
2	Jumparu trees	—	2	—
6	Byaladatrees	1	—	—
820		50	8	—

Bill for furniture

5. Dasegowda has paid to Government the follow-ing Sums on account of the

lands purchased by him.

	Rs.	As.	P
Kandayem for the lands	23	8	0
Local Fund	1	7	6
Boundary mark charges	32	3	"
Price of the lands including trees	2	9	"
Total	59	11	"

6. The Dy: Commr: recommends the cancellation of the Sale on the ground that for the 2d Sale, there should have been at least 30 days interval as required by para 22 of Rule 20 of the Rev: Rules, and that proper amount was not realized owing to the Sale not having been regularly conducted.

7. It will be seen from paras 1 & 2 above, that nearly 6 months after the 1st Purchaser was put in possession of the lands,

in the opinion of the Dy C. generally & by the Secretary of State in particular should under a recurrence of cases like the one under debate care if not impossible —

21/7/93

The conduct of the Madras Chamber is suspicious —

Was Dasappa asked for the value of the tins (Rs 50/8) and if the valuation of the Panchayat? —

19/7/93
Dasappa & Devji as above
vrs

21/7/93

Subd

The Dy C. (comm: reports that the amount referred to (Rs. 50-8-.) has not been paid by Dasappa.

BR 8/4. Draft order as suggested by the Chairman on the note of 21/7 stating that the value of the tins Rs 50/8 as found by Dasappa

18/4/93

M No 178 of 1893 - 94

24

Appts

Cowdn Nanja
& others
of Lakshmideverahalli
&
Tepur Taluq
Tumkur Dt

Respt

Dase Gowda

~~Unoccupied waste~~
Certain lands, ~~on the application~~
(area 23.23 assessed at Rs 23-8)
were sold by Public auction & appts
purchased them for Rs 2-9-0, on
15th July 1891. He subsequently paid
Road Cess amounting to Rs 32.—

Appts complained that due
notice was not given of the sale, & that they
are willing to pay more. & that the sale should
therefore be cancelled.

The Dy Com^r recommended the
Cancellation of the sale — on the grounds
~~that cause for cancellation was~~

(1) that Sale Proclamation was
published on 7th July 1891 & the
sale took place on 15th July
contrary to Rules 22.

(2) ~~that the sale Proclamation~~ the higher amount
was not realized
~~contrary to Rules~~

^ that is within
30 days contrary

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 1179

Name of Petitioner. Puligeri Mestri and others

Village. Biganenahalli & 2 other villages

Taluk. Tiptur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 3rd November

Date of Receipt. 7th November

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

The Kerehalli Amrit Mahal Kaval which is situated in the vicinity of the three villages was being given to the ryots of these villages for Kulbaggani since it was transferred to the revenue department. Petitioners, hearing that the Kaval would be assessed made one of them apply for it. The Amildar issued an endorsement stating that it would be given to the ryots of these villages only, when its assessment would be

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

Petn should obtain & produce a copy of the Dy Com's Order complained against

PO
N
12/11/92

20/11/92

14-11-92

of the Kaval were secretly sold to one Dasappa of Gottakere, a stranger, for a very low price of Rs 2-9-0.

Petitioners representing the matter to the Dy Commr, the latter ordered that the sale should be cancelled, if the said Dasegowda failed to pay the price that might be fixed by Panchayets, and that Petitioners might take seperate land if they were in need of any.

Petitioners state that they are put to much inconvenience with regard to pasture for their cattle, and pray that either the lands may be given to them for Kulbanni as before, or may be resold publicly in the presence of the Amildar or the Deputy Commr.

S.V.

8/11

3-11-92
Secretary/Com

1-11-92
Secretary/Com

(1)

11790
1-11-92

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಸೂಚನೆಯ ವಿಷಯ: ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘ ನಿಯಮಿತ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಇದರ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕ ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 29-10-92

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಂಘದ ಸರ್ವ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸರ್ವ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ 29-11-92 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10-30 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಂಘದ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ಪಡೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ನೀಡಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

1. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಅಂಚೆ ವೆಚ್ಚ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

2. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಅಂಚೆ ವೆಚ್ಚ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

3. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಅಂಚೆ ವೆಚ್ಚ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

4. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಅಂಚೆ ವೆಚ್ಚ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

5. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಅಂಚೆ ವೆಚ್ಚ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

6. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಅಂಚೆ ವೆಚ್ಚ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

7. ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸಂಘದಿಂದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಅಂಚೆ ವೆಚ್ಚ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಳ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ನೀಡಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಅನುಬಂಧ 2-9 — ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಶನ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮಾದರಿ

ಸಮಯ: 3 ಗಂಟೆ ಗರಿಷ್ಠ ಅಂಕಗಳು: 100

ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು: ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ. ಪ್ರತಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿತ್ರಪಟಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿ. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಾಮೆಂಟ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ.

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 1. ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರ್ ಹಾರ್ಡ್‌ವೇರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಫ್ಟ್‌ವೇರ್ ನಡುವಿನ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ವಿವರಿಸಿ. ಇನ್‌ಪುಟ್, ಔಟ್‌ಪುಟ್, ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಕರಣ ಸಾಧನಗಳ ಪಾತ್ರವನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 2. ಆಪರೇಟಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಸ್ಟಮ್‌ನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾಡಿ. ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆ ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ, ಮೆಮೊರಿ ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ, ಫೈಲ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಧನ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಣದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. ಜನಪ್ರಿಯ ಆಪರೇಟಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಸ್ಟಮ್‌ಗಳ ಎರಡು ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 3. ಅಲ್ಗಾರಿದಮ್ ಮತ್ತು ಫ್ಲೋಚಾರ್ಟ್ ಎಂದರೇನು? ಒಂದು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಸಮವೋ ಅಥವಾ ಬೆಸವೋ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯಲು ಅಲ್ಗಾರಿದಮ್ ಮತ್ತು ಫ್ಲೋಚಾರ್ಟ್ ಅನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 4. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಮಿಂಗ್ ಪರಿಕಲ್ಪನೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ: ವೇರಿಯಬಲ್, ಡೇಟಾ ಟೈಪ್, ಲೂಪ್, ಷರತ್ತು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ, ಫಂಕ್ಷನ್. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೂ ಒಂದು ಸಣ್ಣ ಉದಾಹರಣೆ ನೀಡಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 5. ಒಂದು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಅಪವರ್ತನಗಳನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿಯುವ ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಮ್ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. ಬಳಕೆದಾರರಿಂದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಇನ್‌ಪುಟ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು, ಅದರ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅಪವರ್ತನಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಿ. ಅಲ್ಗಾರಿದಮ್ ಅನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 6. ಡೇಟಾಬೇಸ್ ಎಂದರೇನು? ಡೇಟಾಬೇಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಫೈಲ್ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಣೆಯ ನಡುವಿನ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ. ಸಂಬಂಧಿತ ಡೇಟಾಬೇಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಟೇಬಲ್, ಸಾಲು, ಕಾಲಮ್ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಕೀಲಿಯ ಪರಿಕಲ್ಪನೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 7. ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರ್ ನೆಟ್‌ವರ್ಕ್‌ಗಳ ಮೂಲಭೂತ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ. LAN, WAN ಮತ್ತು ಇಂಟರ್ನೆಟ್ ನಡುವಿನ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. ಪ್ರೋಟೋಕಾಲ್ ಎಂದರೇನು? ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 8. ಸೈಬರ್ ಭದ್ರತೆಯ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಬೆದರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾಡಿ. ಮಾಲ್‌ವೇರ್, ಫಿಶಿಂಗ್ ಮತ್ತು ಪಾಸ್‌ವರ್ಡ್ ದಾಳಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ. ಬಲವಾದ ಪಾಸ್‌ವರ್ಡ್ ಅನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ಸುರಕ್ಷಿತ ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟಿಂಗ್ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 9. ಕೃತಕ ಬುದ್ಧಿಮತ್ತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಯಂತ್ರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಪರಿಚಯ ನೀಡಿ. ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯ ಕಲಿಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಿಲ್ಲದ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ನಡುವಿನ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿ. ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೂ ಒಂದು ನೈಜ-ಪ್ರಪಂಚದ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಶನ್ ಅನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿ. (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ 10. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಎರಡು ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಕುರಿತು ಟಿಪ್ಪಣಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ: (10 ಅಂಕಗಳು)

ಅ) ಕ್ಲೌಡ್ ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟಿಂಗ್ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಸೇವಾ ಮಾದರಿಗಳು (IaaS, PaaS, SaaS)

ಆ) ಬ್ಲಾಕ್‌ಚೈನ್ ತಂತ್ರಜ್ಞಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಅನ್ವಯಗಳು

ಇ) ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಶನ್ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕ್ರಾಸ್-ಪ್ಲಾಟ್‌ಫಾರ್ಮ್ ಫ್ರೇಮ್‌ವರ್ಕ್‌ಗಳು

ಈ) ಡಿಜಿಟಲ್ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಉಪಕ್ರಮ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು

೧ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೨ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೩ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೪ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೫ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೬ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೭ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೮ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೯ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೧೦ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೧೧ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೧೨ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೧೩ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೧೪ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೧೫ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

೧೬ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಹಿರೇಮನಿ

20 A2186-42-2

(General Serial No. 30.)

689
1892 DEC. 9 2
R1661

3

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Durbar~~ Govt:
of Mysore.

Dated 8th December 1892.

Despatched 10th ——

Received

Enclosures No. Record

General No. 505
No.

Submitting for the cancellation of the sale, the vernacular record bearing with the Tiptur Amildar's report No. 663 of the 30th Ultimo, connected with the sale of certain lands in the village of Kadeballi in the Tiptur Taluk.

Rev:-
Examine pro: & putupa
Shortnote. MSR
12/12/92

Submitted with a Memo:
B.D
25/1/93

Deputy Commissioner's office
Dharwar District

No. 505 Camp. Kumbarhalli 8th Decr 92

(3)

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Bombay

Sir

I have the honor to sub-
-mit herewith the vernacular record
ending with the Tipture Amildar's report
No. 663 of the 30th ultimo. It refers to
the sale of certain lands in the village
of Kadéhalli in the Tipture Taluk which
were formerly in the Inam Abkari
Department but subsequently trans-
-ferred to the Revenue Department for
cultivation. After they were surveyed,
classed and assessed they were
sold by public auction and knocked
down to one Dasé Gowda for Rs 2-9-
on the 15th February 1891. It from this
some of the villagers complained that they

had previously applied for these lands but that as the sale [illegible] was conducted without sufficient notice they could not be present at it. The sale was conducted within 10 days of the publication of the sale notice - There should have been an interval of at least 30 days as per clause 22 of Section 20 of the Revenue Rules. The total extent of the lands in question is, in round figure, 24 acres and the assessment thereon is 24 Rupees. Further there appear to be some valuable trees on them - It is thus clear that proper amount was not realized and that the sale is one not very regularly conducted. As possession once given should not, as per clause 29 of the said section, be disturbed without an express order of Government the record is submitted for the ~~cancel~~ cancellation of the sale.

J.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
U. V. Venkatarangier
Deputy Commissioner

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

Dp 186-92-3

No. 1694

Name of Petitioner. Patel Dasegouda

Village. Gottikere

Taluk. Tiptur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 29/12/92

Date of Receipt. 9/1/93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner applies for S. Nos 4-13 in the Kadehalli Kaval which had been formerly an Amritmahal Kaval, and obtained them for cultivation on 31. 3. 91. Lately, the ryots of Biganenhalli and Hallada Hosahalli represented to the Deputy-Commissioner that the lands were once held by them, that Petitioner's occupation of the lands would cause much inconvenience to them and that therefore the lands should be given to them. Petitioner

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

asked the Dy Commr. to permit him to sell the lands by auction and that the Deputy Commr. has submitted the correspondence for Govt. orders.

Petition prays that, as the ryots of the said villages have sufficient area of land for cultivation, the grant of the lands in question may be confirmed.

S.V. 19/1

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಛೇರಿ
30/12/92
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಛೇರಿ
30/12/92
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ

MYSORE DEWANS OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 16940
DATE 9-1-93

ಮೈಸೂರುಸ್ವರ್ಗಮಹಾರಾಜರವರರಾಜ್ಯಭಾರ

ದವಿವ್ಯಾಸಾಹೇಬರವರದಿವೃತಾಂನಿಧ್ಯಾನವಂನ್ನಕುರಿತು—

ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತವಿವರಣಾರುತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುದಟ್ಟಿಗಪದನಂಮ್ಮಕುಗೊಂಪ್ಪೆಕೆರೆಗ್ರಾ
ಮದಲ್ಲಿನಿಇರುವವಿವ್ಯೋಲೇದಾಶ್ರೀನಾತನುಹೊಳ್ಳಕೊಂಭ್ಯುವಲಹುವಾಲೆಕಾರ್ಯಖು.
29-12-92ರಲ್ಲಿ —

ಈಗ್ರಾಮದಕೆರೆಯಂವು100ವರುಷದಕೆಳ್ಪಟ್ಟಿವದದುಹೋಗಿದ್ದದ್ದುನಕ್ಕಾ
ರದಿಂದ40000ರುಪಾಯಿಗಳ್ಳುನವರಿಗೆಖರ್ಚುಮಾದಿದರಾಮುಗಾರಿಆಗಿವಿಶೇಂಧ್ರಿಸಿ
ನಲ್ಲಿನೀರುನಿಂರುನಾನುಲದುವರಿಗೆ80ಎಕರೆವರಿಗೆಜಮ್ಮೀನು ನಾನುವಳಮಾಡಿ
ರೆಂಭಳ್ಳುಕ್ಕಾ150ರುಪಾಯಿಇವರಿಗೆಕಂದಾಯಕೆಂಪ್ಪೆಕೆಂಡುಕಂ-ಂದನಾ
200ಕುರಿಗಳ್ಳುವರಿಗೆಇಪ್ಪೆಕೆಂಡುಬಿಕಾಣಿಕುದಾರನಾಗಿಇಧ್ಯೀನುವಿ
ಶೇಂಧ್ರಿನಾ ಅನಲ್ಲಿಕೆರ್ಕೆನೀರುನಿಂರುಬಿಂಪ್ಪರೆಜಮ್ಮೀನುಹಾಣುಬುಡುರಿಆಗ್ಗಿ
ರು. 25ಎಕರೆಮಷ್ಟಿಗೆಕೆರ್ಕೆಹಿಂದೆಜಮ್ಮೀನು ಕೆರ್ಕೆನಾಗುವಳ್ಳಿಗೆಬಂಕ್ಕೊ
ಈಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆಸೇವುಮಾಳ್ಳದಜಮ್ಮೀನು ಇಲ್ಲಾ ಈಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದಾನನಗೆಖುಷ್ಟಿ
ನಾಗುವಳ್ಳಿಗೆಜಮ್ಮೀನುನಾಲದೆಈದನ್ನೂಕುರಿಗೆಮೇವಿಗೆಜಾಗಾನ್ನಿಲ್ಲದೆಯಿ
ರಾಸುಗಳ್ಳುಬಹಳ್ಳನಾದಾರಾಗಿಕೆಲವುವ್ಯ ತವಪ್ಪೆನನ್ನಜಿರಾಯ್ತುಬನ್ನೆ
ಬಹಳ್ಳಕೊಂಡಂತಾಗಿಇದರಿಂದನಷ್ಟಲ್ಪನಿಮ್ಮಿವಿನ್ನಿರುವನಂಮ್ಮಕು
ಮಜಕೂರಲ್ಲಿಮೊಂಡಲ್-ಅಮ್ಮತಮಹಲ್ನಲ್ಲಿನ್ನಿದ್ದುನಮೂರು 6-7ವ
ರುಷಕ್ಕೆಮುಂಚೆಕೆವಿನ್ಯೂನಲಾಖಿಗೆಕೊಂಪ್ಪೆಡ್ಡುಕ್ಕುಲ್ಲುಬನ್ನಿರುರಾಜಾಂ
ಗುತ್ತಾನಿದ್ದದ್ದರಿಂದಾಇದರಲ್ಲಿನಾಗುವಳ್ಳಿಗೆಕೊಂಡುವಬಗ್ಗೆನಿಜ್ಞಿನಿಜ್ಞಿ
ದಾಸಿ40ನಂಬರುಗಳ್ಳನ್ನವೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು —

ಇಂದನ್ನಕಳ್ಳಿದುಕೊಂಡುನಾನು90-91ನೇಸಾಲಿನವಿವಿಶ್ರಣಾ
ಅನಲ್ಲಿನಿರ್ಣಯಂಬರು4ರಲಾಂಗಾಯ್ತು13ರವರೆಗೆ10ನಂಬರುಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ
ಕಬೂಲೇವೊಂಡಿನಿದ್ದೆ ನಾಲುಳಬ್ಬೆರಾದ5-3-91ನೇಸಾಲಿನ45ನೇನಂ
ಬರುಪ್ರಕಾರಂಮೇರೆಯವರೆ 23-20ಆಕಾರ23-8-0ಜಮ್ಮೀನು
31-3-91ರಲ್ಲಿಮಂಜೂರಾಂಗಿಬಂರು— ಹಾಂದುಲಾಗುವದುನಹಿಶಾ
59-11-6ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ್ಳನ್ನು20-5-91ರಲ್ಲಿಕೆಟ್ಟಿಜಮ್ಮೀನುತಕ್ಕ
ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿನಾನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿನಿಧ್ಧೆ- ಇದುವರಿಗೆನಿರುವರುಷೇವಾಗ್ರಾ
ಕು —— ಖಚಿತಂವಶ್ರದಕಂದಾಯವುಖಿಸ್ತುವೆಯ್ಯಕೆವಿಂರಾಕೊಪ್ಪೆಡ್ಡಿನ್ನೆ

ಕಾವಿಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಷ್ಟಂತಲೂ ಉಳಿಯುವಂಗಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕಾಗುವಂಥ
ನಹಾಯಂಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡರೆ ಕೊಂಡಿಯೋಕಾಗಿಯಾಂಡ್ಲಅಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಿಗುವಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವ್ಯದಿವಸದಮೇಲೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಿಗನಹಳ್ಳಿ- ಕಳ್ಳದಹ್ರೋಂ
ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಿ ಈಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರೆಲ್ಲರೂಮೂವಾಗಲೋಕವನ್ನಹಿಡುವಳ್ಳಿಲನ್ನೆ
ದ್ದದ್ದಾಗಿಯೂ ನಾನುಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಮಗೆಕೊಂಡರೆಲುಂ
ಪೌನ್ನತೆಂತಲೂ ಇದನ್ನೆತಮಗೆಕೊಂಡಿನಿಜ್ಕೆಂತಲೂ ಡಿನ್ನಿಷ್ಟೆ
ಪುಜೂರಲ್ಲಿವಿರ್ಬಾದುಮಾಡಿದ್ದಲಮೇಲೆ ಕರನೋಂದಾಂಡನೆನಡದುಮಟಿ
ಶಿರುರಾಜಿನಲ್ಲಿಪೈಬಿಷ್ಟಿಪ್ರೋಪಡನ್ಯಾಂಯುವಾದಮೆಂಬಲಗು ನಾನುಕೊಂ
ಡಬ್ಕೆಕಂತೆ 15-9-92ಮುಕ್ಕುಮೆ 95ನೇನಂಬರುರುಪ್ಕ್ತಾಂಆಜ್ಞ
ಇತು — ಭರ್ಕಾರದವರುತ್ತನನ್ನಹೆಸ್ರಿಕೆವಿಂಡಾಇತರು ನಿಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟೆ
ಮಾಡಲಪ್ಪುಮೊಂಬಲಗುನನ್ನನಿಮರ್ಪಮಗೊಳ್ಳುವುಂಡಬ್ಕೆಕಂತೆ
ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕನಲ್ಲಿನಾನುಜ್ಕೆಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಮೇಲೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಿಂಗಳು
ಬಂನೇತಾರಿಖಿನದಿವಸ ಅಚರಂತೆವಿಂಬಾಇತರು ಅಜಿಮೂಇ
ಷೆವೂದಿ 50-8-ಂರುಖಾಇಂಮ್ಮೆಕಪ್ಪಿದರುಅದುಕೊಂಡಲುನಾ
ನುವಬ್ಬಿದೆ —

ಇದರಮೇಲ್ಕೆತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಅಮಲ್ದಾರವರುನನ್ನಖಾತೆಗೆಜಿ
ಮಿನುವಜಾಮೂಡಿನಿಜಮಿನುದ್ಕೆಖಿಲುರುರಾಜಿಮೂರಿಜಿ
ಲುಅಪ್ಪಿನೆಕ್ಕೆಳಿದಂತೆಅಯೂಡೆಮಿಚಿತಮಿಷ್ಟೇನಾಜ್ಕೆಬರವರು
ವಜಿನಸ್ಥಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆಬರದಿರುವತೆಂಯೂವಶ್ಯವೂನಕ್ಕೆಳುಕ್ಕೆನೆ
ಂಯೂಗಿಬ್ರಾಧಿಡನಿಜ್ಕೆಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದ್ಕೆನಂದರೆ —

1 ಬಿಗನ್ಕೆನಹಳ್ಳಿ- ಕಳ್ಳದಹ್ರೋಂನಹಳ್ಳಿಗ್ರಾಮನ್ಸ್ಥರು ತಾವ್ಯಮನಕ್ಕೆ
ದನಾಜ್ಕೆಂಡೆದುಮೇಲ್ಕೆನಿಮವಬನ್ಕೆಕೊಂಡರೆಜಿ ಇನಿತಂತಾವನನನ್ನೆ
ದ್ವೇಷಗಳಿಂದಬಲಷ್ಟರುಬಡಕ್ಕೆತರವಷ್ಟೆ ಮೂರಿಕೊಂಡುಧಿರ್ಬಾದು
ಮಾಡುತೆಕ್ಕವಕಾಗಿದ್ದಾಕೆ-ಅವರಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆನಿಂತಾಂದಷ್ಟಿಹೊಲ್ಕೆಮೂಳ್ಳ
ಅಂದರೆಬಿಗನ್ಕೆನಹಳ್ಳಿಗೆ 428 ಎಕರೆಯಂಯೂಕಳ್ಳದಹ್ರೋಂದಹಳ್ಳಿಗೆ
442 ಎಕರೆ-ಯಿಂಯೂಇದ್ದೆ —

2 ಕಂದಾಯಂಮಕ್ಕೆವಾಂಡಬ್ಕೆಂತಾದನಂದಬ್ರಗದಲ್ಲಿಬಿಗನ್ಕೆನಹಳ್ಳಿ
ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ 174 ಎಕರೆಕಳ್ಳದಹ್ರೋಂನಹಳ್ಳಿಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ 42 ಎಕ್ಕೆ
ಆಕಾರಕಂತೆಜಮಿನು ಇದ್ದೆ — ಇದಲ್ಲದೆನಾನುಮಾಡಿಇರು
ವಕದ್ಕೆಳುಕಾವಲ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿಇರುವ ಇದ್ಕೆತರಹಾದಇದರಬಾಜಿ
ಬೆಲ್ಲೆ ಬೆಂನಂಬರುಗಳು 150ರುಪಾಂಯಿಆಕಾರಕಂಡವೈರಜಮಿ
ನುಇದ್ದೆ —

ನುಮಾಡಿನಿರುವದೆಲ್ಲಾಗಬ್ಕೆಕೆಂಬುವದುಜಿದ್ದುನಿಂದಕುಂ
ಪಜ್ಞಾನರುಮಾಡತಕ್ಕವಿರ್ಬಾದವಿನಹಾನ್ಬಾಂಬುವಾದವಿರ್ಬಾದಾ
ಗಿಲ್ಲಾ ——— ———

4 ಬಹುಜನಗಳ್ಳಡಿದಿರುನವಮೂದಿನಿಂದವಿರ್ಬಾದುಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳು
ತ್ತಾಕೆಂಬುಅಭಿಮಾನದಮೇಲಿತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಅಮಲ್ದಾರವಶ
ವಿದ್ಯೋವಿದ್ಯೋವುನಡುಜವಿಳಿನುಡರಾಖಗೆಅವ್ವುಗೆಅತಬೋಕೆಂ
ತಲೆಕೆಡಿಸಿಕೊಳುತ್ತಾಇರುವದುಬಹುಳುಅನ್ಬಾಂಬುವುವಾಗಿನಿದೆ-ಅಥ
ವಮೂಲತರುರಾಜಪುರುಮೂದಲ್ಲಿತವಿಲ್ಲಿರಮಾಂಬುತೆಂಬುವ
ಲೆಬಿಷ್ಟೆಪ್ರೋಕ್ತದಮೇಂಬಲಗುಡೆಪ್ಪಿಪತಿಕಮಿಳ್ಳಷ್ಟಕಾಂಡ್ಕೆಬಿ
ತವರಅಪ್ಪಿನ್ಕೆವೆಳ್ಳಿರಿಕೊಂತಲುನಾನುವಪ್ಪಿಪಾಗುವೆನನಗೆಜ
ಮಿಳಿನುಕಳಿಂಬುಚ್ಚೆಕೆಂಬವಿಷಯಂಬುವುಎನುಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಡ
ಬೆದ್ದೋಕಂಬೋಕೆಚಕ್ಕಿಚ್ಚೆಕಾಗಿನಿದೆ- ——— ———
ನವಶ್ರೀಗ್ರಾವುದರಿರಿಕಷ್ಟಿಬಹುಳುಜವಿಳಿನುನಿಶ್ಚಯುಮುಳುಗತೆಂ
ಬೂಕಾಗಿಂಬೂತಿಕ್ಕಿನಿಗುವಳ್ಳಿಂಬುಗಿಂಬೂಈಗ್ರಾವುಕ್ಕೆ
ಶುದ್ಧಾಂಗವಾಗಿಗೊಕ್ಕವುವುಮೂಳ್ಳವಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿಂಬೂಂದನಕುಳಿಗ
ಳಿಗೆತೆಗೊಂಡರೆಂಬೂಂದ್ದರಿಂದವುಮೂಡಬ್ಕೆಕಾಗಿಬುಂತ್ಕೆವಿನಹಾಗಾ
ಶ್ಯವೆಂಬಂತಿಗೆದುಬೂರಿಕರಂದಾಯಕೆಟೂತಲುವದಕ್ಕೂನಿತರರಿಗೆ
ತೆಗೊಂಡರಿಕೊಂಡುವದಕ್ಕೂನಾನುಮಾಡತಿಲ್ಲಾ ———

ಯುಂಬುಲ್ಲಾಅಂತವುದಿನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆಡೆವ್ಯಪಕಮಿಳ್ಳಷ್ಟಕಾಂಡ್ಕೆ
ಬರವಶಮೂಳ್ಳತಲವಿರುವಕಷ್ಟವಿರಾಂಬುತಿಕೆಆದ್ದರಿಂದಚಿಕ್ಕ
ತ್ತೆವೆಕ್ಕೆಬ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತೆ. ನಾನುತುಂಬೂನಿಂದಾತಿಂಬೂಗಿಂಬೂವಿರಾ
ರತ್ತೆಇಂಬುಳಿಂಇನವರಿಗೆಕಂದಾಂಬುಕೆಂಬೂತರಿಕ್ಕವನಾಗಿಂಬೂ
ಇದ್ದೇನೆ: ವೆಳ್ಳಿಂತಜವಿಳಿನುನನಗೆತವ್ವಿದರಿದನಕುರಿಂಬೂ
ವರ್ತಕೆಳದುಕೊಂಡುವ್ಯವಹಾಂಬುತ್ತೆಕೊಂದರೆಯೂಗಿವಕ್ಕಲುನಾ
ಚಾಲಿಗೆಬರಲುಕಾರಣವಾಗುತ್ತೆಪ್ರಜ್ಞಾವಿಲನೆಮಾಂತರಿಕ್ಕಗುಣಾ
ತೀಲರಾದದ್ರಜ್ಞಾಗಳ್ಳುಜ್ತರಿದಲ್ಲಿತಿಂದುನನ್ನಜವಿಳಿನು ಹೊಂಮಂಜ್ಞಾಳು
ದುವಬಗ್ಗೆಳುಕುಂದಂಬುಕಾಲನಿನನ್ನಿರಾವಾಡಬೇಕೆಂತಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿನಿ
ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ ———

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮಗೌರವಕ್ಕೆಯಿಲ್ಲ ———

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 1775

Name of Petitioner. Dasegonda

Village. Gottekere

Taluk. Tiptur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 18/1/93

Date of Receipt. Do.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner applies for, and obtains for cultivation S. Nos. 4 to 13 in the Kadedalli Kaval. The inhabitants of Biganenhalli and another village complaining of the grant of the said lands to Petitioner, the Deputy Commr. ordered the Amildar to recover from the Petitioner the price of the trees that were not included in the sale and leave the lands in Petitioner's possession. ~~He~~ agreed & paid Rs 100 accordingly.

But he now hears that the Amildar has recommended

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

the Dy Commr. has submitted the proceedings to Govt for orders.

He prays that the whole Records may be examined and that his hak may be confirmed.

H.
21/1

1775

18-1-93

٩

٢٥

٢

95

ಆದೇಶ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಆರೋಪಣಾ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಆರೋಪಣೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಲಿಖಿತ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಅವರು ಮೌಖಿಕವಾಗಿ ಆರೋಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆರೋಪಣೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಸಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದರಂತೆ ವಿಚಾರಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಸಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಆರೋಪಣೆಗಳು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಆರೋಪಣೆಗಳು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯ ನಿವೃತ್ತಿಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

1892. 3

No. 1928

Name of Petitioner. Patel Dasi Gowda

Village. Gottikere

Taluk. Tiptur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 20-1-93

Date of Receipt. 27-1-93.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

States that the Dy. Commr has made a reference to Govt. regarding the resale of No: 4 D13 which he had obtained for cultivation in the Kadehalli Kaval. Prays that the matter may be disposed of in his favour.

SVR
29-1-93.

Sec No 1949.

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

Reserved
20-1-93
Ref:- says he has presented
a similar Ref:- at the Secretariat
& wishes to submit this appl.
representation

MADRAS DEWANNI OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
RECD. NO. 1928 R
DATE 27-1-93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

(1)

No. 1935

Name of Petitioner. Choudri Ranja and others

Village. Biganenhalli & 2 other villages

Taluk. Tiptur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 28/1/93

Date of Receipt. Do

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Reminder

Petitioners pray that the portion of the Kenchalli Kaval which has been sold secretly to a stranger for a very low price of Rs 2-9-0 may be resold by public auction

SK 28/1

Petrs personally tell me that they by-pass the subpœna upon this order & he has not the record.

D Narasimha -

Respectfully

28/1

Forward Arzi

Petrs & Dy C's for report with Rop -

Petrs state that the Dy C told them that the record has been sent & thereupon had by-passed & the order could not be granted

D Narasimha -

As the petrs' allegation is that the lands have been given at a rate & on credit - the Dy C will also state whether the lands are now under cultivation & used only for grazing purposes by whom they are held & have been given away

D Narasimha

Leave

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 1949

Name of Petitioner. Dasegouda

Village. Gottikere

Taluk. Tiptur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 28/1/93

Date of Receipt. 30/1/93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 4

ABSTRACT.

Requests that early order may be passed on his petition of 29.12.92, praying for confirmation of the grant of S.Nos 4-13 to him, and that the four exhibits herewith produced may be put up with the records submitted by the Dy Commr.

S.K. 31/1

To be put up with the file

LR 186-92-7

30/3

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

(12)

1949 R

30-1-43

28-11-93

LR 186-92-8

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

1179

The accompanying Petition No. 1935
dated 3-11-92 & 28-1-93 received at the
Dewan's Office from Chowdri Ranjat
Ulleen of Beganenballi & 2 other
villages, Pittur Taluk, praying
that a portion of Kereballi Kaval
which has been secretly sold to
a stranger for a very low price
may be resold by public auction

are
is forwarded, ~~together with~~ its

~~enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Comm^r
Tumkur Dist^t for ~~for~~ report
with Proceedings.

2. Petitioners state that the
Deputy Comm^r told them that the
record had been submitted to Govt
& therefore that a copy of his order
could not be granted to them.

3. Is the Petitioners' allegation
true that the lands have been given
at a valuation and on credit?
The Dy. Comm^r will also state what
the lands are now under cultivation
or used only for grazing purposes by
Gollaliru Dasara Gowda,
to whom they are stated to have
been given away.

4. The Petn should be returned.

By Order,

Draft
(Circulating order)
N.C.V.

BANGALORE,
June 1893

Chief Secretary.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

(15)

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Board's
No. 16217 21/30
No. Pet: 1253 1/4/93

His letter No. 505, dated 8th December 1892, submitting the records connected with the sales of certain lands in the village of Kadehalli in the Tiptur Taluk, and recommending its cancellation.

With reference to the above, I observe that has the honour to ~~observe that~~ the conduct of the Sheikdar & the Shanbhog ~~the conduct of the Sheikdar and the Shanbhog to be suspicious, and~~ to request that the Deputy Commr will be good enough to report whether Dasegowda has paid the value of the trees (Rs 50-8-0), ~~according to the valuation of the~~ fixed by Panchayets appointed under the orders of the Deputy Commr.

The observation will be omitted & here as this is not the final Order

It is agreed as a final order

By Order
Chief Secretary.

Draft
(Councillors order)
S.V.

(General Serial No. 30.)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Draft for approval

Dated 30 March 93.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 16217
Pol. No. 1253

Calling for a further report in the matter of the sale of certain lands in the village of Kadehalli, ~~Tumkur~~ Tiptur Taluk.

References to be marked.

From the same No 839 & 10/4/93

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

11608

12 APR. 93 fol 472

1892-93

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LR 186-92-10

From The Dy: Commissioner Tumkúr district (16)

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt: of Mysore.

Dated 10th April 1893.

Despatched 11th

Received

Encloses Rr. 1764 dt 21-4-93 & Rr. 276-92

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 839.

Chief Secy's: No: 16217/P. 1253 dt 30th Ultimo

—"—

States that Daségowda the purchaser of the lands in question in the Village of Kadehally in the Tiptúr Talúk, has not paid the amount —.

Petitr.

Mm

12/4

Arrange this in open file & put on Monday 17/4 Sir, Attended to.

Secl

17/4

18/4

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

No: 839. Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tumkur, 10th April 1893.

(16)

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No: 16217/P. 1253 dated the 30th Ultimo enquiring if Daségowda, the purchaser of the lands in question in the Village of Kadéhalli in the Tiptur Talúk, has paid the value of the trees (viz: Rs: 50-8-0) fixed by the Panchayet, I have the honor to state that the amount has not been paid by him.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

(19)

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Dy Comr. Tumkur.

Dated

Despatched 29 Apl 93

Received

Enclosures No. Ver. Papers returned

General No. 17641
Pet F. No. 276-92.

Passing orders in the matter of the sale of certain lands in the village of Kadehalli, Tiptur Taluk, and remarking that Darkhast proceedings should be ~~conducted~~ better scrutinized in the Dy Comm's office generally, and by the Jamabandi officer in particular.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

Read:—

(1) Letter No 506, dated 8th Dec. 92 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, submitting the records connected with the sale of certain lands in the village of Kadehalli, in the Tiptur Taluk, and recommending its cancellation, on the ground that there was no duff notice.

(2) Letter No 839 dated 10 April 1893 from the same Office, stating, with reference to this Office No 1621 / F. 1253 dated 30 ult:, that the purchaser Dasegowda has not yet paid the value of trees on the land, fixed at Rs. 50-8-- by Purchasedars.

Order thereon

Dated Bangalore 18th April 93

Government consider it inexpedient to order the resale of the land, at this distance of time — more than 2 years after delivery of possession — for the sake of the small interest involved. Government are however constrained to remark that a better scrutiny of Darkhast proceedings in the office of the Deputy Commissioner generally,

and by the Jamabandy Officer in particular, should render a recurrence of cases, like the one under disposal rare, if not impossible.

2. The value of the trees, Rs 50-8-0 should be paid by Dase Gouda.

Draft
(Councillor's order).

for Chief Secretary.

S.V.

To the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District, returning the vernacular papers.

No. 1179-1935

28-4-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

28- 4- 1893

(18)

Endorsement granted to Chowdri Ranja & others of Bijanenhalli & other villages of the Tiptur Taluk

in reply to their Petition Registered No. 1179/1935, dated 3rd Nov. 1892 & 28th Jan. 1893 praying for resale of the Kadehalli Karal land, which was sold to one Dasegouda on 15th February 1891, without due publication of notice of sale.

Petitioners ~~is~~ are informed that Govt. consider it inexpedient to order the ~~sale~~ resale of the land, which was delivered to the possession of the purchaser more than 2 years ago.

"By Order"

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

No. 1694-1775
1928-1949

28-4-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
28- 4- 1893

(17)

Endorsement granted to Patel Darefgowda of Goltikere
Tiptur Taluk

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1694 1775 1928 & 1949 dated 29th Dec: 1892 ~~& 28th Jan 1893~~, 20th ~~Idem~~ and 28th Jan: 1893 respectively, praying that the sale & lease of a portion of the Kadehalli Kaval land may not be set aside.

Petitioner is informed that the appeal of Chowdu Nayka & others for the resale of the land in question has been received and ~~referred to the Deputy Commissioner who will issue orders on the subject.~~ the Officer has been asked to furnish a report regarding the value of the trees Vide Dasa Gowda's Petition Rs 50/8

Enclosures are returned.

By order

24/4
for Chief Secretary.

21/4

~~Copy forwarded to the Dy Com^r of Tumkur for information in continuation of this office No. 16217 / P.1253 dated 30th March 93.~~

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

LR 186-92-13

1893.

(21)

No. 178

Name of Petitioner. Chowdry Nanjia and others of

Village. Tiptore Taluk.

Taluk. Tumkur

District. Bangalore.

Date of Arzt. 19. July 1893.

Date of Receipt. 19. July. 1893.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two.

ABSTRACT.

Request for a Review of the order passed by this office of 21/28 April 1893 for the various reasons shown in the petition

Ks. 21/7.

In time
Stamp sufficient.
Submitted with the memo which contains the facts of the case & the orders passed—
applicants are represented by Mr Evers Advocate

Does Mr Mr J. Cockburn who passed the order which is now sought to be reviewed or there is an L.P. Sealed 6/8 and/or Cobb 8/8/1893 Received

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. N. 1782
DATE 19-7-93

Petn Branch.
MRK
19/7/93

Two Rupees Stamp duly affixed

In the Court of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Endorsement No. 1179-1935.

Review Petition No. of 1893.

23-12-93

The Petitioners Chowdy Nanja poodigiri Gowda and Chikkay Gowda and others humbly beg to apply for a Review of the order passed by this Honourable court dated 21st/28 April 1893 with reference to their petition No. 1179 of 1935 dated 3rd November 92 & 28th January 1893 for the following reasons, viz

1 That the land in dispute had been in the possession and enjoyment of petitioners for many years and the said land is the only grazing ground available for the cattle of nearly 150 families living in 3 or 4 surrounding villages

2 That petitioners are the original bidders for that land after it was taken away from the Amarathunakal Department, and when they applied for the land in 1886 the Amildar of Tiptoor informed them that they would be informed by letter of town if the land was resumed for cultivation or assessment.

3 That when the land was sold in 1890 the Shaikdar and Shanbogue of the village fraudulently held the auction without giving information to the surrounding villagers and it was knocked down to Dasay Gowda for a very low sum of Rs 2-9-0 when there were persons who would have offered a much larger amount.

4 That according to the Revenue rules there should be one month's previous notice when sale of land

is

is to take place, but in the present case there was only an interval of 10 days time so that petitioners could not have come to know the date when the sale was to take place.

5th That the Hasanj patty contains only the signatures of two persons viz Dasay gowda, the present holder, and one Ramakka gowda. This Ramakka gowda was not at all present when the transaction took place - He has deposed to this effect before the Amildar and that some body forged his mark in the auction patty. This clearly shows that the sale was entirely a fraudulent one between certain parties who had formed a ring.

6 That the land contains valuable trees which yield large revenue to Government and such trees were not

at all mentioned in the [illegible] of the
Shankar, and it came to the notice
of the authorities only when there was
a complaint made against the sale.

7 That petitioners contention
with regard to the land has been from
the time the sale took place and
before the delivery of possession was
given to Durga Sonda and consequently
the delivery of possession causes no
harm to petitioners contention
which is for cancellation of the
sale and re-enquiry.

For the above reasons petitioners
humbly pray this Honourable court
will be pleased to revise its former
order and pass a new order in the matter.

The endorsement granted by this
Honourable court herewith produced

Bangalore
19-7-93

[signature]
Advocate



In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner
Kupelekshya Circle
Dist Bangalore 19/1

Revenue Appeal No 6/89 B

1 Pillagri Gowda
2 Chikkay gowda } petitioners
and other,

We the petitioners above named
do hereby retain Mr J Evers B.L.
advocate to appear for us in the
above case: and we agree to ratify
and confirm all the acts our said adv:
shall do or cause to be done in the
premises on our behalf

Bangalore
29-5-93

Signed by the Petitioners
in my presence & they are
known to me -

Evers

Accepted
Evers
Adv

(General Serial No. 30.)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE 189

(22)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

LR 186-92-14

To

D/Comr Tumkur Dist.

Dated 30/9/93

Despatched 3/10.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6411

Pet F. No. 276-92

From the same No. 331 d/13-10-93.

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

Has the honour to request that the Vernacular records returned with Government Proceedings No $\frac{17641}{276}$ dated 27th April 1893, may be resubmitted to this office, as early as practicable, for reference in connection with the review application, No 178, of Choudri Ranja and others of Biganenhalli and other villages in the Tiptur Taluk.

By Order

Draft-

for Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

1524.
18 OCT. 93
Pet 261
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1893.94.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

23

LR 186-92-15

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt:
of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur 15th October 1893.

Despatched 16th

Received

Enclosures No. Record.

General No.

No. 331.

Chief Secretary's No: 6411 dt 30th September 1893 — P. T. 276-92

Submits the record returned with Government order No: 1764/276 of the 18th April 1893.

Petitioner
18/10/93

No: 331. Tumkur District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur 15th October 1893.

23

To,

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

As required in your Docket No: 6411 P.F. 276-92 of the 30th September 1893, I have the honor to submit the record returned with Government order No: 17641/276 of the 18th April 1893.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District.

LR 186-92-16

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

8

Petition
Appeal No. 178 of 1893-94

24

Appellants	Vs.	Respondent.
Chowdri Ranjao and Others of Lakshmidevarhalli Tiptur Taluk, Tumkur District		Dase Gowda

Decision, dated 25th November 1893

Certain unoccupied waste lands (acres 23-23 assessed at Rs 23-8-0) were sold by Public auction and ~~appellant~~ X respt purchased them for Rs 2-9-0, on 15th February 1891. He subsequently paid Band charges amounting to Rs 32.

X Respondent

2. Appellants complained that due notice was not given of the sale, that they ~~are~~ were willing to pay more and that the sale should therefore be cancelled

3

3. The Deputy Commissioner recommended the cancellation of the sale on the ground

(1) that sale Proclamation was published on 7th February 1891 and the sale took place on 15th February, that is, within 30 days, contrary to rule 22,

(2) That proper amount was not realized, and

(3) That the sale was not very regularly conducted.

4. The Government however declined to cancel the sale and issued an order on 18th April 1893 that Rs 50-8-[illegible]x should be collected from the Respondent.

x being the value of trees on the land

5. The present petition is for a reconsideration of the order issued in April 1893.

6 The value of the trees has not yet been paid

Decision in the ~~Appeal case~~ No 1/18 of 1893-94

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

paid by Respondent.

7. Although there was some irregularity in the conduct of the sale, Government do not think it proper to cancel the sale at this distance of time. The Petitioners will therefore be informed that the Government decline to reconsider their order. Petitioners have no right whatever to the land. The value of the trees should be recovered from Respondent without further delay.

By order

Read ~~Illegible~~ C/R

No 9981 dy 26/12/93 Chief Secretary

Pet. 276-92

Copy to the Deputy Commr Tumkur Dist for with the ver: proc: received with his No 331 dy 15th Octr/93.

By order

C/R

Chief Secretary

1911 Cys

Press copy sent 8/1/94